G. R. S. 59] GPB—15,000- 20-12 13

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1902-03.
(Mouzroi)

File No. 67-

Serial Nos. 1—5.

Papers Filed.

Month March 1904.

SUBJECT.

File re Sanctioning the Construction of a Sree Anjaneyaswamie temple in the new village of Boyatha Doddallapur Rg/o.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

1903/11

From The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To The Dy Secy to the Govt
of Mysore,
Mysore Dept

Dated 7th } August 03
Despatched 10th }
Received

General No
S No. 143.

Enclosure No. One.

Received
10/8/03

Submits for sanction an estimate for Rs 350/ for building the Sri Anjeneya swami temple in the new village as the old village of Byatha was taken up for the sanitary Conservancy of the Hesaraghatta tank

MGCPB—50 1eams—6-12-02

Sl No 143
Sh. ow.

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 7th August 1903

From

H H Sparkes Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To

L Anantharami Rao Esq. B.A
Deputy Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Inam Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to state that, the old village of Byatha was taken up for the sanitary conservancy of the Hessarghatta tank, and a new village was formed instead. The temple of Sri Angenayasvami that existed in the old village has to be built in the new village from the amount of compensation of Rs 350/- awarded for the purpose.

2. I therefore submit, herewith, an estimate for Rs 350 for sanction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H H Sparkes
Commissioner.

fl. 2

MUZRAI

~~1901~~ 1903-04.

From Dy
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

To Muzrai Dept
The Dy Comr
Bangalore Dt.

Dated
Despatched } 21st August '03
Received

General No. 508
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1.

With letter No. 443 dated 7th August 1903 submitting for sanction an estimate for Rs 350 for constructing a new temple of the Sri Anjaneyaswami ~~temple~~ in the new village ~~of the~~ as the old village of Byatha was taken up by Govt for the Sanitary Conservancy of the Kesavarghatta Tank

MGCPB—11-1-1901—1500

Has

Ask the honour
to request information
on the following
points: —

i Is the temple in
question a Muzrai
institution; if so,
how is it endowed?

ii Who is now drawing
the Compensation
amount of Rs 350/-
reported to have been
paid by Govt; ~~now~~
~~deposited~~?

2. An early reply
is requested.
3. Enclosures ret'd.

By order

Asst.

14/8/03

Dy Secretary
Mun: Dept

14/8/03

22/10/03

Rejes

&

1903/04

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore Dt

To The Dy Secy to the Govt of Mysore Mysore Dept

Dated 17th Oct 1903

Despatched 20th

Received

General No.

S No. 346.

Enclosure No One.

Dy Secy's docket No. 508 of 21st August 1903.

He submits for sanction the estimate with information on certain points in regard to the Sri Anjeneya Swami temple in the old By gathe village

MGCPB—50 reams.—6-12-02.

S/N 9 3465
for Dw

Bangalore District
Dy Commrs Surs Office
Bangalore 14th October
1903

From,

H St Sparke Esq
Dy Commrs Surr
Bangalore District

To,

L Ananta sami Rao Esq BA
Dy Secy to the Govt of
Mysore
Mysrai Dept

Sir,

With reference to your docket No 508 dated 21st augst 1903, Calling for certain information regarding the Sri Anjeneya swami temple in the old Byatha village, I have the honor to State that the temple is a mysrai Institution endowed with the marginally noted Inam lands.

2. The Compensation amount awarded

Survey No 42. 3 acres 6 guntas assessment 5 rs 9 as 1-3-2.

awarded is kept in deposit in the Taluk Treasury.

3. The estimate received with the above is re submitted for sanction.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

Dy Commissioner

8 C 41

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

19 —19

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Muzrai Department

To

The Deput, Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Dated 17th

Despatched November 1903.

Received

General No. 1231.
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. 1

His letter o. 346 dated20th October 1903, submitting an estimate for constructing the temple of Anjaneya in the new village of Pyda, Devanhalla Taluk.

In returning the estimate unsanctioned has the honor to observe that the information given by the Deputy Commissioner is not complete.

2. It is reported that a sum of 350 was paid as compensation for the

purpose of constructing the temple in the new village of Byata, the old village having been taken up for the sanitary conservancy of the Nesaraghatta tank. It is not clear whether the Inam lands attached to the temple was also taken up and if so, whether any compensation was separately paid for the same, and in the latter case how much? If the Inam lands has been taken up without any compensation being paid therefor is it not necessary to apply to Government in the Revenue Department for an equivalent extent of land for the benefit of the temple?

2. Is the Puja being conducted in the old temple since its acquisition and is there any likelihood of the villagers contributing an equivalent amount of compensation, in view to construct the temple more substantially?

4. An early reply on all these points is requested.

Enclosures returned.

By order,

Draft 10/11/43

Deputy Secretary, Mysore Department.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1721

1903/04

From H. H. Sparkes, Esquire
Commissioner
Bangalore Distt.

To L. Ananthasamy Rao Esq.
Actg Secretary to
the Government of
Mysore

Dated Bangalore
Despatched
Received 12/13 W. Telegraphy
General No.
S No. 467
Enclosure No. One estimate

H-E.
Draft-Subd
Orders

Dy Secy's No 231 of 17 Nov 03
Submits as called for
particulars regarding the inam
lands attached to the Sri Anjeneya
Swami temple in the Begatha Hobli
Doddaballapur Tk

S.N. 707

En quelstimes

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Dated 12th February 04

From,

H. H. Sparke, Esquire
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

To.

L. Anantarama Rao, Esquire B.A.
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 1231 dated 17th November 1903, calling for certain details regarding the Inam lands attached to the Sri Anjeneyaswami temple in the Byatha village of the Dodda

Yalur

Yalug, I have the honor to state that the Inam lands of the temple were not taken for the Hessar Ghatta water works and therefore no Compensation was paid.

2. The puja is being Conducted in the old temple and the devotees are not prepared to pay any Contribution for the construction of the temple.

3. The Estimate received with the above is resubmitted for Sanction.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

H H Sparks
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sl. 6 Muzrai

Read:-

Correspondence ending with letter No. 707 dated 12.2.1904, from the Deputy Comr., Bangalore District, soliciting sanction for constructing the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple in the new village of Byatha, Doddaballapur Taluk.

Order

The temple of Sri Anjaneyaswami in the old Byatha village of the Doddaballapur Taluk is a Muzrai Institution endowed with the marginally noted inam lands.

2 The Dy Comr reports that the old village of Byatha was taken up for the Sanitary Conservancy of the Hesaraghatta tank

Subd to the Dewan for approval 11 6/3/04

11608/7 3 04.

No. 2862-3-D/15.3.04.

Govt Order No. RS 1-3-2

Inv: F: 67-02

Inv: 42 No: 3 acres 6 guntas asst Rs 5-00

and that a new village was formed instead. He solicits sanction to the construction of the Sri Anjaneyaswami temple which existed in the old village, in the new village of Bejatha from out of the amount of compensation of Rs 350/- awarded for the purpose, & submits an estimate therefor.

3. Under the circs reported the estimate is sanctioned, the charge being met from the sum of Rs 350 paid as compensation and kept in deposit in the Taluk Treasury.

4. The temple should be properly constructed under the supervision of the making due allowance light and fair.

DfM

9.3.04

Dy Secy/ Muzrai Dept

12/3

Rec'd 12/3/04

22/2/04

To, the D.C. with the sanct.o Compton for inf.n

Digitized by Google

Digitized by Google